

# **Table of Contents** Status of This Memo......2 Abstract......2 Copyright Notice......3 1. Overview......4 1.1. Conventions and Terminology.......4 2. Extended LDIF Operations......5 2.1. Relation to existing standards documents.......5 2.5. SEARCH......8 3. Response Value Processing......10 4. Security Considerations.....11 5. IANA Considerations......11 6. Acknowledgments......11 Author's address......13

Appendix A: Changes......13

1

Independent Submission
INTERNET-DRAFT
Intended Status: Proposed Standa

Intended Status: Proposed Standard

Expires 2015/08/26

draft-hollstein-extended-ldif-03.txt

Christian Hollstein TeraCortex February 2015

The Extended LDAP Data Interchange Format (EXLDIF)

# **Status of This Memo**

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification. It is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### **Abstract**

LDIF (LDAP Data Interchange Format) has been specified in RFC2849. It covers the LDAP operations ADD, MODIFY, DELETE and MODDN. This document specifies how other LDAP operations can be represented in a text file. Implementations may take such text files to send appropriate LDAP requests to a server and process the responses. This work was inspired by the need for a general configurable LDAP client used in functional and stress testing of LDAP servers.



# **Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current">http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

### 1. Overview

This document extends the LDIF format [RFC2849] to cover all LDAP operations that can be sent by a LDAP client. For each of the operations BIND, UNBIND, COMPARE, SEARCH, EXTENDED, ABANDON [RFC4511] it specifies the fields needed to encode a complete LDAP request for submission to a server.

## 1.1. Conventions and Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

# 2. Extended LDIF Operations

### 2.1. Relation to existing standards documents

The ABNF of the LDIF syntax in [RFC2849] contains this definition of a change record:

```
changerecord = "changetype:" FILL

(change-add / change-delete /

change-modify / change-moddn)
```

This is extended as follows:

```
changerecord = "changetype:" FILL

(change-add / change-delete /
change-modify / change-moddn /
operation-bind / operation-unbind /
operation-compare / operation-search /
operation-extended / operation-abandon)
```

Implementations MAY assume change-add if the "changetype:" line is absent. This violation of [RFC2849] is accepted to maintain backward compatibility with the widely distributed OpenLDAP shell API.

Either of the additional operations is covered in the following chapters. In order to maintain backward compatibility the keyword "changetype" is also used for the additional operations despite the fact that with the possible exception of EXTENDED they are no update operations, thus cannot change any data in a LDAP server.

The ABNF forms below make use of ABNF definitions already presented in [RFC2849] and [RFC4515]. Of particular interest are:

- Idif-change-record This is the basic entity containing the

definitions for any particular LDAP operation.

- dn-spec This specifies a distinguished name. All

additional operations have a distinguished name starting with the keyword "dn:". The

distinguished name value may be empty. There is exactly one dn-spec per ldif-change-record.

- control This specifies a control (LDAP V3 only).

- attrval-spec This specifies the OID or name of an attribute

possibly with attribute type options and / or

attribute value.

- value-spec This specifies an attribute value or the

value part of an keyword - value pair. Values may be given in clear text, base64 encoded or

by import from an external file.

- Idap-oid This specifies an object identifier in numbers

and dot notation.

- filter A search filter as specified in [RFC4515].

- DIGIT A single byte decimal character (0 - 9)

- FILL Zero or more spaces

- SEP Line separator

Beside making use of these definitions Extended LDIF has no backward impact on existing specifications nor does it have any effect on their implementations.

### 2.2. BIND

BIND = dn-spec SEP \*control SEP operation-bind

operation-bind = "bind" SEP

"version:" FILL 1\*DIGIT SEP

"authentication:" FILL ("simple" / "sasl") SEP

authentication SEP

authentication = (auth-simple / auth-sasl)

auth-simple = "passwd" value-spec

auth-sasl = "mechanism" value-spec SEP

"credentials" value-spec

# 2.3. UNBIND

UNBIND = dn-spec SEP \*control SEP operation-unbind

operation-unbind = "unbind" SEP

#### 2.4. COMPARE

COMPARE = dn-spec SEP \*control SEP operation-compare

operation-compare = "compare" SEP 1\*attrval-spec

Please note that the value in attrval-spec may be absent. [RFC4511] does not specify how a server shall respond to a compare request with empty attribute value or how a server shall respond to a compare request targeting an attribute with no stored value.

### 2.5. SEARCH

SEARCH = dn-spec SEP \*control SEP operation-search

operation-search = "scope:" FILL ("base" / "one" / "sub") SEP

"deref:" FILL ("never" / "search" /

"find" / "always") SEP

"sizelimit:" FILL 1\*DIGIT SEP

"timelimit:" FILL 1\*DIGIT SEP

"typesonly:" FILL ("true" / "false") SEP

"filter:" FILL filter SEP

attribute-selector

attribute-selector = \*("attribute:" FILL AttributeType SEP)

#### 2.6. EXTENDED

EXTENDED = dn-spec SEP \*control SEP operation-extended

operation-extended = "extended" SEP

"oid:" FILL Idap-oid SEP

"value" 0\*1(value-spec) SEP

"responses:" FILL 1\*DIGIT SEP

commit-rollback

commit-rollback = \*("commit:" FILL ("true" / "false") SEP

The value-spec contains either no value or a single value. The value for the "responses" keyword is an integer. It MUST give the number of responses the client will receive from the server. In most cases there will be just one response. Some implementations of extended requests might call for zero or more than one responses.

In case of an extended request encoding a transaction end the "commit-rollback" directive tells the client to commit or rollback the transaction regardless of the outcome of the requests contained therein.

#### 2.7. ABANDON

ABANDON = dn-spec SEP \*control SEP operation-abandon

operation-abandon = "messageId:" FILL 1\*DIGIT SEP

The value of the "messageId" keyword is an integer. It gives the identifier of a previous LDAP message sent by the client.

# 3. Response Value Processing

This document does not define any procedural logic in the sense of algorithmic behavior. This means, that a simple implementation can just take the sequence of Extended LDIF records from an input text file, translate them to LDAP protocol level and send them to the wire. However, there are a couple of points that need consideration:

SEARCH and COMPARE operations may yield result data containing valuable information beyond the fact whether the operation was successful or not. In many cases the LDAP client should display the received data or use data content for further decision taking.

EXTENDED operations could yield response values that must be used in subsequent LDAP operations, as is the case in LDAP transactions [RFC5805].

- Message identifiers of LDAP operations may be used in subsequent ABANDON operations to cancel previous requests.
- It may be useful to execute LDAP operations in repetitive loops or execute them conditionally based on the outcome of previous operations or external program input.

These issues cannot be solved within the scope of Extended LDIF. They will be addressed by a different specification.



# 4. Security Considerations

In addition to the security issues of LDIF files [RFC2849] Extended LDIF may contain authentication information used for BIND operations. This sensitive data MUST NOT be displayed to unauthorized people.

General security considerations [RFC4510], especially those associated with update operations [RFC4511], apply to this extension.

## 5. IANA Considerations

There are no new object identifiers associated with this specification.

# 6. Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the contributions made by Internet Engineering Task Force participants.

### 7. References

#### 7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC2849] Good, G., "The LDAP Data Interchange Format (LDIF) Technical Specification", RFC 2849, June 2000.
- [RFC4510] Zeilenga, K., Ed., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): Technical Specification Road Map", RFC 4510, June 2006.
- [RFC4511] Sermersheim, J., Ed., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): The Protocol", RFC 4511, June 2006.
- [RFC4515] Smith, M., Ed., Howes, T., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Search Filters", RFC 4515, June 2006.

### 7.2. Informative References

[RFC5805] Zeilenga, K., "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) Transactions", RFC 5805, March 2010.

# **Author's address**

Christian Hollstein E-Mail: <a href="mailto:chollstein@teracortex.com">chollstein@teracortex.com</a>

TeraCortex Phone: 0049 / 5473 / 9933

Hopfenbrede 2 Mobile: 0049 / 160 / 96220958

D-49179 Ostercappeln

# **Appendix A: Changes**

01/13/14 Changed "attrsonly" to "typesonly" in chapter 2.5

(Search operation) to comply with [RFC4511]

02/06/15 Introduced forced rollback for extended transaction end requests